

The Effect of Green Coffee Bean Extract on Cardiovascular Risk Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

Makan Pourmasoumi, Amir Hadi, Wolfgang Marx, Ameneh Najafgholizadeh, Sukhdeep Kaur, and Amirhossein Sahebkar

Abstract

Background and aim: Cardiovascular disease remains the primary cause of noncommunicable disease- related death. The present systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to assess the possible benefit of the green coffee bean extract on cardio-metabolic markers.

Methods: PubMed, Scopus, Web of Science, and Cochrane Library were systematically searched to identify clinical trials that examined the effect of green coffee bean extract on cardio-metabolic risk factors including serum lipid profiles, glycemic status-related markers, blood pressure, and anthropometric indices.

M. Pourmasoumi

Gastrointestinal and Liver Diseases Research Center, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran

A. Hadi

Halal Research Center of IRI, FDA, Tehran, Iran

W. Marx

School of Medicine, iMPACT, Food & Mood Centre, Deakin University, Geelong, Australia

A. Najafgholizadeh

Since the included RCTs were carried out in different settings, random effect models were used to conduct all meta-analyses.

Results: Fifteen studies (19 arms) consisting of 637 participants were included. The results indicated that green coffee bean extract significantly reduced levels of total cholesterol (-5.93 mg/dl; 95% CI: -9.21, -2.65; l^2 : 0%), fasting plasma glucose (-2.21 mg/dl; 95% CI: -3.94, -0.48; l^2 : 32%), systolic blood pressure (-3.08 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.41, -1.75; l^2 : 26%), diastolic blood pressure (-2.27 mmHg; 95% CI: -3.82, -0.72; l^2 : 61%), body weight (-1.24 kg; 95% CI: -1.82, -0.66; l^2 : 15%), and BMI (-0.55 kg/m^2 ; 95% CI: -0.88, -0.22; l^2 : 73%). Although the pooled effect size of

S. Kaur

Department of Food and Nutrition, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, Punjab, India

A. Sahebkar (🖂)

School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran e-mail: sahebkara@mums.ac.ir

Supplementary Information The online version of this chapter (https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-73234-9_21) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Department of Microbiology, Naein Branch, Islamic Azad University, Isfahan, Iran

Applied Biomedical Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

Biotechnology Research Center, Pharmaceutical Technology Institute, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

LDL-C, fasting insulin, and waist circumstance were significant, the results were significantly influenced by individual studies. No significant effect was detected for triglycerides, HDL-C, HbA1C, and HOMA-IR. However, the nonsignificant pooled effect size for triglyceride levels was influenced by one individual study.

Conclusion: The present study suggests that green coffee been extract consumption can improve total cholesterol, triglycerides, body weight, blood pressure, and fasting plasma glucose.

Keywords

Cardiovascular disease \cdot CVD \cdot Green coffee \cdot Chlorogenic acid

Abbreviations

BMI	Body mass index
CVD	Cardiovascular disease
DBP	Diastolic blood pressure
FPG	Fasting plasma glucose
HbA1C	Hemoglobin A1C
HDL-C	High-density lipoprotein
	cholesterol
HOMA-IR	Homeostasis model assessment of
	insulin resistance
LDL-C	Low-density lipoprotein
	cholesterol
RCT	Randomized clinical trial
SBP	Systolic blood pressure
SD	Standard deviation
TC	Total cholesterol
WC	Waist circumstance

1 Introduction

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the major cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. In 2016, approximately 31% of all global deaths were due to CVD, with over 75% of these CVD deaths occurring in low- and middle-income countries [1]. Main risk factors associated with CVD are sedentary lifestyle leading to overweight/obesity, unhealthy diet [2], raised blood glucose levels, hypertension [3], dyslipidemia [4], psychosocial factors [5], and smoking [6]. Modifiable lifestyle factors such as diet and physical activity can play an important role in alleviating CVD risk [7]. Several epidemiological and interventional studies have shown that bioactive compounds present in fruits and vegetables, such as polyphenols, carotenoids, flavonoids, and anthocyanins, may have a beneficial effect against the development of CVD [8–11]. Furthermore, there is a growing research interest in the potential beneficial cardioprotective properties of polyphenol-rich beverages such as tea [12–14], wine, beer [15], and coffee [16].

Among these beverages, coffee is one of the most popular drinks in the world [17]. Coffee plants, native to Africa, belong to the genus Coffea (family Rubiaceae) and are grown for their seeds (beans) which are roasted, ground, and sold for brewing coffee [18]. Coffee contains bioactive phenolic compound chlorogenic acid, methylxanthines, flavonoids, hydroxycinnamic acid, melanoidin, diterpenes, trigonelline, lignans, and minerals [19–21]. Ample evidence suggests that green coffee beans have anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, which are mainly attributed to bioactive compounds including chlorogenic acid, caffeine, diterpene, and trigonelline [22]. Chlorogenic acid has been inversely associated with metabolic syndrome, obesity [23], and chronic liver diseases [24]. Consumption of green coffee bean extract has been shown in both preclinical and emerging clinical trials to ameliorate the risk of diabetes mellitus type 2, ischemic stroke, and CVD [25] through reduction in high serum lipid concentrations [26], appetite level [27], abdominal obesity [28], oxidative damage [29], as well as high fasting blood sugar levels, fasting glucagon, insulin sensitivity [30], high blood pressure [31], arterial elasticity [32], and endothelial dysfunction [33].

The cardioprotective properties of green coffee bean extract have been investigated in human studies [32, 34–37]; however, the results of individual studies have not been consistent. To the authors' knowledge, a systematic review and meta-analysis of these studies has not been previously conducted. Therefore, the present systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical trials was designed to assess the overall effect of the green coffee bean extract on cardio-metabolic markers including anthropometric indices, BP, blood glucose, and lipid profile within the adult population.

2 Methods

The present investigation was designed and reported in adherence to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines [38].

2.1 Search Strategy

Systematic literature searches were conducted using the data sources PubMed, Scopus, ISI Web of Science, and Cochrane Library, from their inception until January 2020. The search strategy texts which were applied for exploring into databases were constituted from two main concepts including "Green coffee" and relevant cardiovascular risk factors. Another search keyword forming from "Green coffee" and "clinical trial" terms was also used to cover those eligible studies in which the outcomes of interest were reported as secondary outcomes and were not mentioned in abstract. The search strategy which was applied based on each database is presented in Supplemental Table 1. An additional manual search was followed by reference lists of selected studies to detect other relevant papers. Two authors (A.H and M.P) separately searched the electronic databases, and disagreements were resolved by group discussion.

2.2 Study Selection

After excluding duplicate publications, studies were independently screened by two reviewers (A.H and A.N) based on their titles, abstracts, and full texts. Articles were eligible for inclusion if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) the study design was a controlled clinical trial, (2) the population of interest was adults (aged >18 years), (3) the intervention was green coffee supplemen-

tation, (4) the outcomes of interest were body weight, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference (WC), glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1C), fasting plasma glucose (FPG), homeostasis model assessment-estimated insulin resistance index, (HOMA-IR) serum insulin, total cholesterol, triglyceride, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), systolic blood pressure (SBP), and diastolic blood pressure (DBP). We excluded studies if they lacked a control group (single-arm studies) or with no proper control group (i.e., active agent supplemented as control group), duration of intervention was <2 weeks, green coffee was administrated as complex with other active substances, and age of participants was <18 years. All discrepancies were addressed by consensus or by discussion with a third author (M.P).

2.3 Data Extraction

The following data were extracted from the full text of included studies using a predesigned abstraction form: first author's last name, publication year, location of the study, study design, gender, mean age and BMI of participants, total sample size, study duration, dose and type of green coffee bean extract, and reported outcomes. When the data were reported at multiple measurements, only the outcomes at the end of the intervention were included in the analysis. Data extraction was conducted by two authors, independently (A.N and A.H). Subsequently, full-text studies were assessed, and discrepancies were resolved through discussion with a third author (M.P).

2.4 Risk of Bias Assessment and Credibility of Evidence

The risk of bias of the included studies was performed by two reviewers (A.H and M.P) using the Cochrane Collaboration Risk of Bias tool [39]. The main categories consisted of the following six items: (1) sequence generation sufficiency (selection bias), (2) allocation concealment (selection bias), (3) blinding (performance bias), (4) clarification of failures and incomplete outcome data (attrition bias), (5) selective reporting of the results (reporting bias), and (6) other possible sources of bias. Each domain was assessed as "high risk, " "low risk, " or "unclear." Finally, the overall quality of the studies was categorized into weak or fair if ≥ 3 or < 3 domains were rated as unclear/high risk, respectively.

The credibility of the present study was evaluated based on GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations [40] by using GRADEpro online software [41]. It assesses the quality of evidence in accordance with several criteria which explore risk of bias, inconsistency, indirectness, impression, and publication bias in each outcome of interests. The rigorous quality of evidences is categorized as very low, low, moderate, and high quality.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

All analyses were performed using STATA software version 12 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). The mean difference and the standard deviation (SD) of intervention and control groups for all the outcomes of interest were extracted to calculate overall effect size. In studies in which mean change was not directly reported in the intervention and control groups, it was calculated by subtracting the post-intervention data from the baseline value. Furthermore, if the SD of change was not provided directly, SD for net changes were imputed according to the method of Follmann et al. [42].

The correlation coefficient used for SD of change calculation was also assessed by studies which provided sufficient data using the following formula: [$R = (SD_{Baseline} + SD_{Final}^2SD_{Change}) / (2 \times SD_{Baseline} \times SD_{Final})$] [43]. The correlation coefficient (R) for each was the following: triglyceride, 0.74; total cholesterol, 0.68; LDL, 0.70; HDL-C, 0.78; FPG, 0.70; fasting insulin, 0.6; HOMA-IR, 0.61; HbA1C, 0.50; SBP, 0.78; DBP, 0.75; body weight, 0.97; BMI, 0.98; and WC, 0.95. Because of high correlation coefficient calculated for anthropometric indices (body

weight, BMI, and WC), the correlation coefficient was assumed 0.9 for these parameters, and sensitivity analysis was also performed to assess whether the results of anthropometric indices are sensitive to different levels of correlation coefficient (0.8 and 0.6).

Since the included RCTs were carried out in different settings, random effect models were used to conduct all meta-analyses. The heterogeneity between studies was examined by the I-squared (I^2) index. The level of heterogeneity across studies was rated as low, moderate, or high corresponding to I^2 value of 0–30%, more than 30–60%, and more than 60%, respectively [39].

We conducted subgroup analysis according to dose of green coffee, duration of study BMI of participants, and/or health condition where possible to assess the impact of heterogeneity on outcomes. Sensitivity analyses were also performed to explore the extent to which inferences might depend on a particular study or group of studies as well as the impact of studies with a high risk of bias. Meta-regression was conducted to detect the effect of potential confounders on changes in outcomes of interest including dose of intervention, duration of study, and baseline measures of outcome of interest. We also assessed publication bias by visual inspection of funnel plot and two formal tests, the Begg-adjusted rank correlation test [44] and the Egger's regression asymmetry test [45]. A P-value <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant, unless otherwise specified.

3 Results

The study selection process, number of removed articles in each steps, and reason for excluding studies are illustrated in Fig. 1. In brief, after primary assessment and discarding irrelevant articles, 21 studies were selected for full-text screening. Of those, six studies were excluded due to a lack of a proper control group (n = 2), use of a combination intervention (n = 1), only reporting postprandial parameters (n = 1), the outcomes which were measured before-after an exercise intervention (n = 1), or the study which



Fig. 1 Flow chart of the process of the study selection

was retracted (n = 1). Finally, 15 studies met eligibility and were included to systematic review. Kozuma et al. [46] administrated three different doses of green coffee bean extract and were considered as three separate active arms. Martínez-Lopez et al. [47] recruited normocholestrolemic and hypercholestrolemic patients and reported the outcomes for each condition independently. In this case, each condition was regarded as separate arm for pooling analysis. In addition, in a study conducted by Naderi et al. [37], participants were divided to four groups, in which green coffee bean extract was administrated to two of the four groups. Therefore, 15 studies including 19 active arms were selected for quantitative analysis.

The main characteristics of included studies are presented in Table 1. Fifteen clinical trials [31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 46–55] comprising a total of 637 participants were included to meta-analysis. The mean age of included participants was 38, and the average BMI was 27.5. Studies were conducted in different countries including Japan [31, 46, 48, 51, 53], Iran [32, 36, 37, 49], South Korea

	Outcomes	TG, TC, HDL, LDL, FPG, SBP,	DBP		TG, TC, HDL, LDL, FPG,	HbA1C, SBP, DBP,	body weight, BMI, WC	TG, TC, HD, LDL, FPG, fasting insulin, SBP,	DBP		TG, TC, HDL, LDL, FPG, fasting insulin.	HOMA-IR, body weight,	BMI
	Notes about participants	Healthy			Impaired glucose tolerance			Healthy			Obesity		
	Type and amount of green coffee/CGA intake	Beverage containing CGA	300 mg/day		Capsule containing CGA	1200 mg/day		Beverage containing green coffee bean	140 mg/day		Capsule containing green coffee bean extract	400 mg/day	
	Comparison group	Beverage			Capsule			Beverage			Capsule		
	Duration (days)	14			84			120			56		
	Clinical trial design/ randomized/ blinding	Parallel/ NR/yes			Parallel/ yes/yes			Parallel/ NR/yes			Parallel/ yes/yes		
	BMI (kg/m ²)	Intervention: P 21.9 ± 1.7 N Control: 21.8 ± 2.2			Intervention: 32.6 ± 24	Control: 32.1 ± 25		Intervention: 24.7 ± 1.6	Control: 23.8 ± 0.6		Intervention: 31.58 ± 4.37	Control: 32.07 ± 4.96	Intervention: 31.58 ± 4.37
	Mean age (Years)	Range: 35–56	Intervention: 44.6 ± 6.2	Control:	Range: 30–60	Intervention: 43 ± 11	Control: 45 ± 9	Range: NR	Intervention: 37.2 ± 1.6	Control: 34.8 ± 2.3	Range: 20–45	Intervention: 36.1	Control: 35.7
	Number and gender N Intervention: 8 R Control: 8 I				Rate of the second seco			Intervention: 10 Control: 10 Males			Intervention: 30	Control: 34	Female
acteristics	Country	Japan Int Co			Mexico			Japan			Iran		
Table 1 Study chai	First author (publication year)	Suzuki et al. (2019)			Zuniga et a. (2017)			Jochiai et al. Jochiai et al. 2004)			Haidari et al. I (2017) (2017)		

		Outcomes	TC, FPG, SBP, DBP,	body weight, BMI WC		TG, TC, HDL, LDL,	FPG, fasting	insulin, HbA1c	TG, TC,	HDL, LDL,	FPU, Iasung insulin	HOMA-IR,	HbA1C,	SBP, DBP,	wC, body weight, BMI	TG, TC,	HDL, LDL,	FPG, fasting	insulin,	HUMA-IK,	body weight, BMI, WC	(Continued)
	Notes about	participants	Overweight/ obese adults			Healthy			Metabolic	syndrome						Nonalco-	holic fatty	liver disease				
Type and amount of green	coffee/CGA	intake	Capsule containing	green coffee bean extract	100 mg/day	Beverage containing	green coffee	been extract 270 mg/day	Capsule	containing cc	green collee bean evtract	400 mg/day)			Capsule	containing	green coffee	bean extract	1000 mg/day		
	Comparison	group	Capsule			Beverage			Capsule	1						Capsule						
	Duration	(days)	56			56			56							56						
Clinical trial design/	randomized/	blinding	Parallel/ yes/yes			Parallel/ yes/yes	•		Parallel/	yes/yes						Parallel/	yes/yes					
		BMI (kg/m ²)	Intervention: 25.6 ± 0.73	Control: 25.1 ± 0.61		Range: 18-25	NR		Intervention:	31.60 ± 3.58	Control: 31 16 + 4 88					Intervention:	31.27 ± 2.58	Control:	31.45 ± 2.18			
		Mean age (Years)	Range: NR	Intervention: 44.7 ± 10.10	Control: 46.2 ± 10.91	Range: 25–40	NR		Range: 18–70	Intervention:	52.0 ± 9.85	8.67				Rnge:20-70	Intervention:	41.36 ± 7.69	Control: 44.50 ±	5.24		
	Number and	gender	Intervention: 10	Control: 10	Female	Intervention: 23	Control: 26	Female	Intervention:	21	Control: 22 Roth gender	Dom gomer				Intervention:	22	Control: 22	Both gender			
		Country	South Korea			Japan			Iran							Iran						
	First author	(publication year)	Kim et al. (2012)			Fukagawa et al. (2017)			Roshan et al.	(2017)						Shahmohammadi	et al. (2017)					

	Outcomes	TG, TC, HDL, LDL, body weight, BMI	TG, TC, HDL, LDL, SBP, DBP, body weight	TG, TC, LDL, HDL, BMI	Body weight, BMI, WC
	Notes about participants	Mild hypertension	Normocho- lesterolemic/ hypercholes- terolemic	Mild hypertension	Overweight/ obese women
	Type and amount of green coffee/CGA intake	Low-sodium soy sauce plus soup containing green coffee bean extract Group II: 46 mg/day Group III: 185 mg/day Group III: 185	Beverage containing green/roasted coffee 6 g/ day	Beverage containing green coffee bean extract 140 mg/day	Green coffee plus exercise 250 mg/day
	Comparison group	Low- sodium soy sauce plus soup without green coffee	Beverage	Beverage	Exercise
	Duration (days)	58	56	84	42
	Clinical trial design/ randomized/ blinding	Parallel/ yes/yes	Crossover/ yes/ yes	Parallel/ yes/yes	Parallel/ yes/no
	BMI (kg/m ²)	Intervention: Group I: 25.2 ± 4.0 Group II: 24.4 ± 2.6 Group III: 25.1 ± 3.6 Control: 24.0 ± 3.1	Normocho- lesterol- emics F: 21.9 ± 2.5 M: 24.2 ± 1.9 p = 2.8 Hypercho- lesterol- emics F: 21.4 ± 2.5 M: 24.9 ± 2.3	Intervention: 23.8 ± 3.3 Control: 25.0 ± 3.5	Intervention: 28.89 \pm 2.95 Control: 29.10 \pm 4.05
	Mean age (Years)	Range: 30–50 Intervention: Group I: 42.9 ± 8.2 Group II: 43.3 ± 8.3 Group III: 43.4 ± 8.4 Control: 43.1 ± 9.1	Range: 18–45 Normocholester- olemics: F: 26.6 ± 7.7 M: 24.7 ± 5.8 Hypercholesterol- emics F: 33.3 ± 10.2 M: 34.8 ± 9.2	Range: NR Intervention: 52 ± 11 Control: 51 ± 8	Range: NR Intervention: 24.5 ± 3.06 Control: $24.57 \pm$ 2.98
	Number and gender	Intervention: Group I: 29 Group II: 28 Group III: 31 Control: 29 Male	Normocholes- terolemics: 25 Hypercholes- terolemics: 27 Both gender	Intervention: 14 Control: 14 Both gender	Intervention: 7 Control: 10 Female
~	Country	Japan	Spain	Japan	Iran
Table 1 (continued	First author (publication year)	Kozuma et al. (2005)	Martínez-López et al. (2018)	Watanabe et al. (2006)	Hasani et al. (2017)

330

					Clinical trial			Tyne and		
					design/			amount of green		
First author		Number and			randomized/	Duration	Comparison	coffee/CGA	Notes about	
(publication year)	Country	gender	Mean age (Years)	BMI (kg/m ²)	blinding	(days)	group	intake	participants	Outcomes
Naderi et al.	Iran	Intervention:	Range: NR	Intervention:	Parallel/	56	Aerobic	Green coffee	Obese	FPG, inculin
(1107)		Control: 12	32.23 ± 5.44	Control:	y cai tho		resistance	aerobic	TOTTO M	HOMA-IR.
			Control:	32.56 ± 1.5			trainings	And resistance		BMI
			32.25 ± 7.03				1	trainings 400 mg/day		
		Intervention:	Range: NR	Intervention:			No	Green coffee		
		12	Intervention:	31.58 ± 1.67			training/	capsule		
		Control: 12	30.15 ± 5.58	Control:			supplemen-	400 mg/day		
		Female	Control: 31 ± 5.27	32.71 ± 1.68			tation			
Park et al. (2010)	South	Intervention:	Range: NR	Intervention:	Parallel/	56	Capsule	Green coffee	Overweight/	TG, TC,
	Korea	23	Intervention:	26 ± 0.45	yes/yes			capsule	obese	HDL, LDL,
		Control: 20	33.1 ± 1.92	Control:				50 mg/day	women	FPG, fasting
		Female	Control:	26.3 ± 0.77						insulin,
			33.1 ± 2.19							body weight,
										BINH, W.C. SBP, DBP
Dellalibera et al.	Italy	Intervention:	Range: 19–75	NR	Parallel/	60	Capsule	Capsule	Overweight	Body
(2006)		30	NR		yes/yes			containing		weight, BMI
		Control: 20						green coffee		
		Both gender						bean extract		
								200 mg/day		
Abbreviations: CGA	chlorogen	vic acid. TG triacyls	glycerol. TC total cho.	Mesterol. LDL lo	w-density lipol	protein, HDI	high-density l	ipoprotein, FPG	fasting plasma g	lucose, HbAIC
hemoslohin A1C. H	OMA-IR h	nomeostasis model	assessment of insuli-	n resistance. B/	WI body mass i	index. WC w	aist circumsta	nce. SBP systolic	blood pressure.	DBP diastolic
blood pressure, NR 1	10t reporte	p								

331

[50, 52], Mexico [54], Italy [55], and Spain [47]. Three studies recruited only male participants [46, 51, 53], six studies enrolled only female participants [36, 37, 48–50, 52], and six remaining studies included both sexes [31, 32, 34, 47, 54, 55]. Except for Martínez-Lopez et al. [47], which had crossover design, all studies were parallel studies. The duration of included interventions spanned from 14 to 120 days, with an average of 56 days. Six studies recruited obese/overweight adults [36, 37, 49, 50, 52, 55], three trials enrolled healthy participants [48, 51, 53], two studies involved patients with mild hypertension [31, 46], one study enrolled participants with normocholesterolemic and hypercholesterolemic conditions separately [47], one study included nonalcoholic fatty liver disease-diagnosed patients [34], one study included participants with metabolic syndrome [32], and one study included participants with impaired glucose tolerance [54]. The dose of green coffee bean extract ranged from a minimum of 100 mg to a maximum of 6 g. Nine studies provided an encapsulated green coffee bean extract [32, 34, 36, 37, 49, 50, 52, 54, 55], fives trials provided a beverage containing green coffee [31, 47, 48, 51, 53], and one study administrated green coffee as part of a soup [46]. All studies were published between 2004 and 2019.

4 Risk of Bias Assessment and Credibility of Evidence

Thirteen studies were randomized [31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 46–50, 52, 55]; however, the method of randomization and allocation concealment was sufficiently addressed in six trials [32, 34, 36, 50, 52, 54]. Eleven studies were blinded [31, 32, 34, 36, 46, 48, 50, 51, 53–55], and 13 trials provided sufficient information around attrition bias [31, 32, 34, 46–55]. Nine studies acknowledged public, commercial, or industry financial support as well as any relation of authors with external agency which might influence the results [32, 34, 36, 47–49, 52–54]. The risk of bias summary is presented in Table 2.

The credibility of evidence for some outcomes of interest including triglyceride, TC, LDL-C, HDL-C, FPG, SBP, and body weight was high and reliable. However, quality of evidences for the rest of them was moderate in fasting insulin, DBP, and BMI, low in HbA1C and WC, and very low in HOMA-IR. Overall, there is a moderate confidence in estimated effects (Supplemental Table 2).

5 Meta-analysis

5.1 Effect of Green Coffee Bean Extract on Lipid Profiles

The results of the included meta-analysis demonstrated a significant reduction in total cholesterol (-5.93 mg/dl; 95% CI: -9.21, -2.65; $I^2 = 0\%$) and LDL-C (-4.41 mg/dl; 95% CI: -7.55, -1.27; $I^2 = 7\%$) levels after green coffee bean extract consumption. No significant effect was detected on triglycerides (-6.25 mg/dl; 95% CI: -13.34, 0.84; $I^2 = 20\%$) and HDL-C (0.95 mg/dl; 95% CI: -0.46, 2.37; $I^2 = 31\%$) serum levels (Fig. 2a–d).

Subgroup analysis based on the duration of intervention indicated that triglyceride levels were significantly reduced in studies with ≥ 84 day duration (-26.93 mg/dl; 95% CI: -53.11, -0.76; $I^2 = 0\%$), while no significant difference was observed in studies with <84-day follow-up $(-4.57 \text{ mg/dl}; 95\% \text{ CI}: -11.35, 2.21; I^2 = 15\%).$ No favorable effect was detected for triglycerides in further stratified analyses. When studies were stratified according to BMI of participants (>25 or ≤ 25), type of intervention (capsule or beverage), duration of administration, or dosage of administration, a greater reduction on total cholesterol levels was observed in subgroups including studies with mean BMI > 25 (-7.11 mg/dl); 95% CI: -12.69, -1.52; $l^2 = 18\%$), green coffee bean extract administration as capsule (-8.65 mg/ dl; 95% CI: -14.96, -2.34; $I^2 = 20\%$), duration \geq 84 day (-17.25 mg/dl; 95% CI: -29.63, -4.86; $I^2 = 0\%$), and dosage $\geq 400 \text{ mg/days} (-7.56 \text{ mg/})$ dl; 95% CI: -13.38, -1.73; $I^2 = 38\%$) (Table 3). Subgroup analyses for LDL-C and HDL-C were skewed by one study with a large weighting [36].

Table 2 The summary of	f review authors' judgments abo	ut each risk of bias item for	r included studies			
Study	Random sequence generation	Allocation concealment	Blinding	Incomplete outcome data	Selective reporting	Other bias
Suzuki et al. (2019)	U	U	L	L	L	U
Zuniga et a. (2017)	L	L	L	L	L	L
Ochiai et al. (2004)	U	U	L	L	U	U
Haidari et al. (2017)	Γ	L	L	U	L	N
Kim et al. (2012)	Γ	L	L	L	U	U
Hasani et al. (2017)	Γ	U	Η	L	L	U
Fukagawa et al. (2017)	Γ	U	L	L	L	U
Roshan et al. (2017)	L	L	L	L	L	L
Shahmohammadi et al. (2017)	Γ	L	L	Γ	L	L
Kozuma et al. (2005)	Γ	U	L	Γ	U	L
Martínez-López et al. (2018)	Г	U	Н	Γ	Γ	Г
Watanabe et al. (2006)	Γ	U	L	L	U	U
Naderi et al. (2017)	Γ	U	Η	U	U	Н
Park et al. (2010)	L	L	U	L	L	L
Dellalibera et al. (2006)	Γ	U	Г	Γ	U	U
H high risk of bias, L low	risk of bias, U unclear or unrev	ealed risk of bias. Criteria d	lefined for risk of bia	is assessment are according to	the Cochrane guidelines	

studies
included
for
item
bias
of
risk
each
bout
judgments a
authors'
of review
The summary

Бu l 0 'n 5 ILV IIIgIII

A) Triglycerides (mg/dl)

soon mensel tran	Exp	erimenta	le	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
Fukagawa et al.(2017)	-1.4	17,72	23	9.9	88.27	28	3.8%	-11.30 [-45.99, 23.39]			
Haidari et al.(2017)	-4	23.66	30	.5	18.85	34	20.8%	1.00 (-9.57, 11.57)	+		
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	1.3	46.05	29	4.7	43.58	10	4.4%	-3.40 [-35.19, 28.39]			
Kozuma et al.(b)(2005)	2.3	53.4	28	4.7	43.58	10	4.0%	-2.40 [-35.88, 31.08]			
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	6.2	43.76	31	4.7	43.58	. 9	4.3%	1.50 [-30.87, 33.87]			
Martinez-Lopez et al (a)(2018)	-0.3	23.98	25	-1.3	23.88	25	16.4%	1.00 [-12.27, 14.27]	+		
Martinez-Lopez et al (b)(2018)	-20.4	26.36	27	-16.7	26.83	27	15.2%	-3.70 [-17.89, 10.49]	+		
Ocihi et al.(2004)	7.8	149.76	10	-5.2	89.65	10	0.4%	13.00 [-95.18, 121.18]			
Park et al.(2010)	-19.82	43.38	23	-3.3	33.18	20	7.7%	-16.52 [-39.45, 6.41]			
Roshan et al.(2017)	-6.2	53.14	21	-22.14	77.06	22	3.0%	15.94 [-23.47, 55.35]			
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-37.77	35.36	22	-2.41	38.63	22	8.3%	-35.36 -57.24, -13.40]			
Suzuki et al (2019)	3.7	28.3	8	4.5	27.64	8	5.7%	-0.80 [-28.21, 26.61]			
Watanabe et al.(2006)	4	58.04	14	-4	120.6	14	0.9%	8.00 [-64.53, 80.53]			
Y. Zuniga et a. (2017)	-28.58	36.08	15	8.85	44,71	15	5.2%	-35.43 [-64.50, -6.36]			
fotal (95% CD			306			252	100.0%	-6.25 [-13.34, 0.84]			
Heterogeneity Tau? = 33.84; CI	P = 16.34	df= 13	(P = 0.2	31: F = 2	0%			and the second sec	the stand of the stand		
Test for overall effect Z = 1.73 (P = 0.08)								-200 -100 0 100 200 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		

B) Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)

.....

Experimental		al		Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference			
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI		
Fukagawa et al.(2017)	-2.8	17.59	23	2.9	26.82	26	6.8%	-6.60 [-18.07, 7.07]			
Haidari et al. (2017)	-12	10.35	30	-5	10.46	34	41.3%	-7.00 [-12.11, -1.89]	-		
Kim et al.(2012)	-2.5	15.45	10	-2.4	40.68	10	1.5%	-0.10[-27.07, 26.87]			
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	4.3	24.08	29	-1.8	24.96	10	3.4%	5.90 (-11.88, 23.68)			
Kozuma et al.(o)(2005)	-7.1	24.96	28	-1.6	24.96	10	3.3%	-5.50[-23.52, 12.52]			
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	-7.3	21.48	31	-1.6	24.96	9	3.3%	-5.70[-23.67, 12.27]			
Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018)	2.2	17.8	25	1.2	18.42	25	10.7%	1.00 [-9.04, 11.04]			
Martinez-Lopez et al. (o)(2018)	-21	20.16	27	-14.3	18.67	27	10.0%	-6.70 [-17.06, 3.66]			
Ocihi et al.(2004)	-3.7	34.81	10	2.3	25.53	10	1.5%	-6.00 [-32.76, 20.76]			
Park et al.(2010)	-1.65	16.64	23	-1.65	38.1	20	3.3%	-0.10[-18.13, 17.93]			
Roshan et al.(2017)	1.54	39.44	21	1.54	27.84	22	2.6%	0.00[-20.49, 20.49]			
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-16.95	24.64	22	0.55	26.3	22	4.7%	-17.51 [-32.57, -2.45]			
Suzuki et al.(2019)	2.4	28.24	8	-3.4	17.9	8	2.0%	5.80 [-17.37, 28.97]			
Watanatie et al. (2006)	-3	28.64	14	12	34.59	14	1.9%	-15.00 -38.52, 8.52			
Y. Zuniga et a.(2017)	-7.74	17.37	15	15.47	29.6	15	3.6%	-23.21 [-40.58, -5.84]			
Total (95% CI)			316			262	100.0%	-5.93 [-9.21, -2.65]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau" = 0.00; Chi*	= 12.26,	df = 14	(P = 0.9	59); F = 1	0%			a second and the second			
Test for overall effect Z = 3.64 (F	P = 0.000	4)							-50 -25 U 25 50 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]		

Test for overall effect Z = 3.54 (P = 0.0004)

C) LDL-C (mg/dl)	Expe	eriment	al		ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Fukagawa et al.(2017)	3.6	34.21	23	1.9	22.04	26	3.5%	1.70 [-14.65, 18.05]	
Haidari et al.(2017)	-11	8.57	30	-2	6.73	34	39.1%	-9.00 [-12.81, -5.19]	•
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	3	22	29	-4.5	24.29	10	3.3%	7.60 [-9.55, 24.56]	
Kozuma et al. (b)(2005)	-4.6	26.36	28	-4.5	24.29	10	3.0%	-0.10[-18.04, 17.84]	
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	-8.2	23.36	31	-4.5	24.29	9	3.0%	-3.70 [-21.57, 14.17]	
Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018)	0.5	16.97	25	-0.2	14.92	25	11.0%	0.70[-8.16, 9.56]	
Martinez-Lopez et al.(b)(2018)	-18.8	19.12	27	-15.3	16.93	27	9.5%	-3.50 [-13.13, 6.13]	
Ocihi et al.(2004)	0.5	24.27	10	8.9	18,15	10	2.7%	-8.40 (-27.18, 10.38)	
Park et al.(2010)	-1.26	15.11	23	-1.05	37.65	20	3.1%	-0.21 [-17.83, 17.41]	
Roshan et al (2017)	3.86	18.17	21	3.86	29.77	22	4.4%	0.00[-14.67, 14.67]	
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-2.41	17.32	22	-2.72	14.74	22	9.7%	0.31 [-9.19, 9.81]	
Suzuki et al.(2019)	3	22.52	8	-1.1	15.29	8	2.7%	4.10[-14.76, 22.96]	
Watanabe et al. (2006)	3	20.28	14	14	27.74	14	2.9%	-11.00 -29.00, 7.00	
Y. Zuniga et a.(2017)	-15.47	28.68	15	3.88	30.39	15	2.1%	-19.33 [-40.48, 1.82]	
Total (95% CD			306			252	100.0%	-4.41[-7.55, -1.27]	•
Heterogeneity Tau* = 2.78: Chr	= 14.04	df = 13	(P = 0.	37): 17 =	7%			A SPACE A PARTICIPACIÓN A	
Test for overall effect: Z = 2.76 (P = 0.006				197				-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

D) HDL-C (mg/dl) Mean Difference Mean Difference Experimental Control SD Total Weight IV, Random, 95% Cl 3.27 26 5.3% -3.00 (-8.59, 2.59) Study or Subgroup SD Total 10.54 23 Mean Mean IV, Random, 95% CI Fukagawa et al.(2017) Haidari et al.(2017) Kozuma et al.(a)(2005) -2.2 0.8 9.27 26 -3.00 [-8.59, 2.59] 3.00 [2.51, 3.40] 0.00 [-6.42, 6.42] 0.00 [-6.42, 6.42] 0.00 [-5.84, 5.84] 0.00 [-6.10, 5.10] 1.50 [-3.61, 8.61] 3.30 [-2.16, 8.76] -1.60 [-9.79, 5.59] -0.40 [-4.33, 3.53] 0.00 [-3.92, 3.92] -0.67 [-4.0, 3.06] 29.1% 4.2% 4.9% 1.03 0.95 . 1 30 -2 ō 29 0 10 Kezuma et al.(b)(2005) Kezuma et al.(c)(2005) 8.75 9.42 10 0 28 0 7.83 õ 31 0 7.83 4.6% Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018) Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018) Ocihi et al.(2004) 25 27 10 25 27 10 2.3 9.33 0.8 9.1 6.1% -0.4 10.38 -3.7 10.09 5.5% Park et al.(2010) Roshan et al.(2017) -2.35 5.27 8.51 23 -1.95 7.61 20 22 9.0% 22 8 14 -0.67 [-4.40, 3.06] 1.20 [-4.93, 7.33] -5.00 [-15.60, 5.60] Shahmohammadi et al (2017) 4.18 22 8 -0.36 7.89 0.31 9.7% Suzuki et al.(2019) Watanabe et al.(2006) 0.5 7.38 -0.7 4.5% 16.51 6 14 1 Zuniga et a.(2017) 3.86 9.68 15 9.68 15 3.7% 3.86 -3.07, 10.79 Total (95% CI) 306 252 100.0% 0.95 [-0.46, 2.37] Heterogeneity: Tau" = 1.72; Chi" = 18.72, df = 13 (P = 0.13); l* = 31% Test for overall effect Z = 1.32 (P = 0.19) -20 -10 10 20 Favours Jexperimental) Favours (control)



Variables	Subgroup anal	ysis based on	Number of trials	Mean difference (95%CI)	Within study heterogeneity I ²	Between study heterogeneity (P-value)	
Triglycerides	Participants'	BMI > 25	7	-6.26 (-13.35, 0.84)	58%	0.29	
(mg/dl)	condition	BMI < 25	7	-1.62 (-10.08, 6.84)	0%	-	
	Duration	≥84	3	-26.93 (-53.11, -0.76)	0%	0.09	
		<84	11	-4.57 (-11.35, 2.21)	15%		
	Dose (mg/	≥400	6	-8.52 (-21.10, 4.07)	66%	0.84	
	day)	<400	8	-6.04 (-17.84, 5.76)	0%		
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	Participants' condition	BMI ≥ 25	8	-7.11 (-12.69, -1.52)	18%	0.34	
		BMI < 25	7	-3.83 (-9.27, 1.62)	0%		
	Duration (day)	≥84	3	-17.25 (-29.63, -4.86)	0%	0.06	
		<84	12	-5.07 (-8.48, -1.67)	0%		
	Dose (mg/ day)	≥400	6	-7.56 (-13.38, -1.73)	38%	0.28	
		<400	9	-3.01 (-9.31, 3.29)	0%	-	
Fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl)	Participants' condition	BMI ≥ 25	8	-3.03 (-5.67, -0.39)	54%	0.37	
		BMI < 25	4	-0.93 (-3.36, 1.50)	0%		
	Duration (day)	≥84	3	-4.02 (-7.21, -0.84)	0%	0.15	
		<84	9	-1.87 (-3.84, 0.10)	36%		
	Dose (mg/	≥400	6	-3.36 (-6.86, 0.14)	56%	0.66	
	day)	<400	6	-1.57 (-3.46, 0.32)	0%		
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Participants' condition	Elevated blood pressure	5	-4.19 (-5.95, -2.43)	43%	0.02	
		Other conditions	7	-1.23 (-3.23, 0.77)	0%		
	Duration	≥84	3	-3.79 (-8.24, 0.65)	64%	0.28	
	(day)	<84	9	-2.89 (-4.10, -1.68)	1%		
	Dose (mg/	≥400	4	-2.65 (-5.38, 0.08)	29%	0.56	
	day)	<400	8	-3.23 (-4.84, -1.61)	32%		
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	Participants' condition	Elevated blood pressure	5	-3.09 (-4.94, -1.25)	58%	0.10	
		Other conditions	7	-1.53 (-4.11, 1.05)	60%		
	Duration (day)	≥84	3	-5.90 (-7.80, -4.01)	0%	<0.001	
		<84	9	-1.42 (-2.76, -0.08)	33%		
	Dose (mg/ day)	≥400	4	-2.08 (-3.85, -0.31)	0%	0.47	
		<400	8	-2.35 (-4.49, -0.22)	71%		

Table 3 Subgroup analyses

Variables	Subgroup ana	lysis based on	Number of trials	Mean difference (95%CI)	Within study heterogeneity <i>I</i> ²	Between study heterogeneity (P-value)
Body weight (kg)	Participants' condition	BMI ≥ 25	10	-1.32 (-2.01, -0.63)	30%	0.32
		BMI < 25	3	-0.34 (-2.23, 1.55)	0%	
	Duration (day)	≥60	2	-2.52 (-3.43, -1.60)	0%	0.002
		<60	11	-0.78 (-1.34, -0.22)	0%	
BMI (kg/m ²)	Dose (mg/ day)	≥400	6	-1.34 (-2.11, -0.56)	0%	0.79
		<400	7	-0.92 (-2.09, 0.25)	51%	
	Participants' condition	BMI ≥ 25	12	-0.63 (-0.98, -0.28)	75%	0.05
		BMI < 25	2	0.10 (-0.63, 0.83)	0%	
	Duration (day)	≥60	3	-0.85 (-1.37, -0.33)	43%	0.001
		<60	11	-0.48 (-0.86, -0.11)	69%	
	Dose (mg/ day)	≥400	6	-0.91 (-0.88, -0.22)	80%	0.002
		<400	8	-0.27 (-0.70, 0.16)	67%	

Table 3 (continued)

-Due to substantial heterogeneity in some subgroups, all analysis was performed based on random effect methods

5.2 Effect of Green Coffee Bean Extract on Glycemic Status-Related Markers

Green coffee bean extract significantly improved FPG (-2.21 mg/dl; 95% CI: -3.94, -0.48; $I^2 = 32\%$) and fasting insulin (-0.33 µU/ml; 95% CI: -0.62, -0.04; $I^2 = 0\%$) concentration. However, no significant influence was observed in either HbA1C (-0.02%; 95% CI: -0.19, 0.16; $I^2 = 27\%$) or HOMA-IR (-0.22 mg/dl; 95% CI: -0.69, 0.24; $I^2 = 57\%$) (Fig. 3a–d). Subgroup analysis based on participants' mean BMI demonstrated a significant reduction in FPG levels in a subset of studies with an average participant BMI >25 (-3.03 mg/dl; 95% CI: $-5.67, -0.39; I^2 = 54\%$) but not in studies with an average BMI ≤ 25 subgroup (-0.93 mg/dl; 95% CI: -3.36, 1.50; $I^2 = 0$). When studies were stratified according to study duration, FPG levels had a greater decrease in studies with ≥ 84 day follow-up (-4.02 mg/dl; 95% CI: -7.21, $-0.84; I^2 = 0\%$) (Table 3). Due to low number of included studies, subgroup analysis was not performed for HbA1C, fasting insulin, and HOMA-IR.

5.3 Effect of Green Coffee Bean Extract on Blood Pressure

The results indicated a significant effect of green coffee bean extract on SBP (-3.08 mg/dl; 95% CI: -4.41, -1.75; $l^2 = 26\%$) and DBP (-2.27 mg/dl; 95% CI: -3.82, -0.72; $l^2 = 61\%$). This reduction was more pronounced in studies that included patients with elevated blood pressure for both SBP $(-4.19 \text{ mmHg}; 95\% \text{ CI}: -5.95, -2.43; I^2 = 43\%)$ and DBP (-3.09 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.94, -1.25; $l^2 = 58\%$) (Fig. 4a, b). Stratified analysis indicated SBP lowering effect of green coffee bean extract is greater in subgroups with a duration <84 days $(-2.89 \text{ mmHg}; 95\% \text{ CI}: -4.10, -1.68; I^2 = 1\%)$ or administration dosage of <400 mg/day $(-3.23 \text{ mmHg}; 95\% \text{ CI}: -4.84, -1.61; l^2 = 32\%)$ than the subset with \geq 84-day follow-up $(-3.23 \text{ mmHg}; 95\% \text{ CI}: -4.84, 0.65; l^2 = 32\%)$ or \geq 400 mg/day green coffee intervention

A) Fasting Plasma Glucose (mg/dl)

	Exp	eriment	al	C	lontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Fukagawa et al.(2017)	0.4	5.9	23	0.7	8.5	26	11.2%	-0.30 [-4.36, 3.76]	+
Haidari et al (2017)	-0.9	4.42	30	-0.34	4.17	34	20.5%	-0.56 [-2.67, 1.55]	+
Kim et al.(2012)	-2.8	4.58	10	2.7	5.05	10	10.7%	-5.50 [-9.73, -1.27]	+
Naderi et al.(a)(2017)	-8.84	12.17	12	-1.08	9.8	12	3.4%	-5.76 [-14.60, 3.08]	
Naderi et al.(b)(2017)	-12.42	13.28	12	-14.94	12.58	12	2.5%	2.52 [-7.83, 12.87]	
Ocihi et al.(2004)	-4.2	7.97	10	-3.1	5.68	10	6.4%	-1.10 [-7.16, 4.96]	+
Park et al.(2010)	-1.78	7.91	23	-2.2	6.3	20	10.6%	0.42[-3.83, 4.67]	+
Roshan et al.(2017)	-5.04	60.12	21	29.34	39.98	22	0.3%	-34.38[-65.04, -3.72]	
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-6.91	6.34	22	-2.36	10.26	22	8.4%	-4.55 [-9.59, 0.49]	
Suzuki et al.(2019)	-0.7	3.87	8	0.7	3.45	8	13.0%	-1.40 [-4.99, 2.19]	-+
Watanabe et al.(2006)	1	14.49	14	1	29.41	14	1.0%	0.00[-17.17, 17.17]	
Zuniga et a.(2017)	-3.6	5.57	15	1.8	5.15	15	12.0%	-5.40 [-9.24, -1.56]	
Total (95% CI)			200			205	100.0%	-2.21[-3.94, -0.48]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau* = 2.54: Chi*	= 15.26.	df = 11	P = 0.1	3); F = 3	2%				
Test for overall effect Z = 2.51 (P=0.01)								-50 -25 0 25 50 Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

B) Fasting Insulin (µU/ml)

	Expe	erimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Fukagawa et al.(2017)	0.04	4.39	23	3.44	9.49	26	0.6%	-3.40 [-7.12, 0.32]	
Haidari et al.(2017)	-0.1	0.6	30	0.2	0.63	34	90.0%	-0.30 [-0.60, 0.00]	
Naderi et al.(a)(2017)	0.5	4.4	12	-0.1	3.53	12	0.8%	0.60 [-2.59, 3.79]	
Naderi et al.(b)(2017)	0.27	4.67	12	-0.97	2.93	12	0.8%	1.24 [-1.88, 4.36]	
Ocihi et al.(2004)	-1.2	5.68	10	0	2.53	10	0.6%	-1.20 [-5.05, 2.65]	
Park et al.(2010)	-0.87	3.88	23	-1.11	3.44	20	1.7%	0.24 [-1.95, 2.43]	
Roshan et al.(2017)	-2.82	4.2	21	-0.39	6.46	22	0.8%	-2.43 [-5.67, 0.81]	
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-0.87	2.33	22	-0.15	2.12	22	4.7%	-0.72 [-2.04, 0.60]	
Total (95% CI)			153			158	100.0%	-0.33 [-0.62, -0.04]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ^a = 0.00; Chi ^a	= 6.36, 0	df = 7 (P=0.5	$(); I^2 = 0$	1%			and Without An Adding	
Test for overall effect Z = 2.26 (I	P = 0.02)								Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

C) HOMA-IR

	Expo	rimen	tal	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Haidari et al.(2017)	-0.05	2.46	30	0.02	2.45	34	11.0%	-0.07 [-1.28, 1.14]	
Naderi et al.(a)(2017)	-0.08	0.7	12	-0.04	0.78	12	24.6%	-0.04 [-0.63, 0.55]	-
Naderi et al.(b)(2017)	-0.35	0.72	12	-0.55	0.52	12	27.5%	0.19[-0.31, 0.69]	
Roshan et al.(2017)	-1.41	3.33	21	1.23	3.84	22	4.3%	-2.64 [-4.79, -0.49]	
Shahmohammadi et al (2017)	-0.55	0.69	22	-0.1	0.53	22	32.5%	-0.45 [-0.81, -0.09]	
Total (95% CI)			97			102	100.0%	-0.22 [-0.69, 0.24]	+
Heterogeneity: Tau# = 0.14; Chi#	= 9.40, 0	f= 4 (P=0.0	5); P = 5	7%				
Test for overall effect Z = 0.94 (F	P = 0.35)								Favours (experimental) Favours (control)

D) HbA1C (%)

	Expe	rimen	Ital	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Fukagawa et al.(2017)	0.07	0.21	23	0.01	0.23	26	67.1%	0.06 [-0.06, 0.18]	-
Roshan et al.(2017)	-0.09	1.34	21	-0.05	1.14	22	5.3%	-0.04 [-0.79, 0.71]	
Zuniga et a.(2017)	0	0.36	15	0.2	0.43	15	27.7%	-0.20 [-0.48, 0.08]	
Total (95% CI)			59			63	100.0%	-0.02 [-0.19, 0.16]	+
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.	01; Chi*	= 2.74	, df = 2	(P = 0.2)	(5); P=	27%			1 1 1 1 1 1
Test for overall effect Z	= 0.19 (P	= 0.85	5)						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

Fig. 3 The meta-analysis results of the effect of green coffee administration on glycemic-related factors

(-3.79 mg/dl; 95% CI: -8.24, 0.08; $I^2 = 29\%$). Furthermore, the effect of green coffee bean extract on DBP levels was more robust in studies that were ≥ 84 days in duration (-5.90 mmHg; 95% CI: -7.80, -4.01; $I^2 = 0\%$). No remarkable difference was detected in other subgroups for DBP (Table 3).

5.4 Effect of Green Coffee Bean Extract on Anthropometric Indices

The pooled results demonstrated that green coffee bean extract significantly decreased body

A) Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)

	Expe	eriment	al	0	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Kim et al.(2012)	-2.6	9.97	10	-2.3	9.27	10	2.3%	-0.30 [-8.74, 8.14]	
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	-3.2	4.6	29	-1.3	3	10	15.6%	-1.90 -4.40, 0.60]	
Kozuma et al.(b)(2005)	-4.7	4.5	28	-1.3	3	10	15.7%	-3.40 [-5.90, -0.90]	
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	-5.6	4.2	31	-1.3	3	9	16.0%	-4.30 [-6.76, -1.84]	
Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018)	-3.4	6.96	25	-0.7	6.81	25	9.0%	-2.70 -6.52, 1.12]	
Martinez-Lopez et al.(b)(2018)	-5.2	10.21	27	-3.6	8.16	27	6.0%	-1.60 [-6.53, 3.33]	
Ocihi et al.(2004)	-4.6	9.41	10	-0.5	4.15	10	3.9%	-4.10[-10.47, 2.27]	
Park et al.(2010)	-2.22	9.92	23	-3.7	14.04	20	3.0%	1.48 [-5.89, 8.85]	
Roshan et al.(2017)	-13.76	8.48	21	-6.56	9.58	22	5.2%	-7.20 [-12.60, -1.80]	
Suzuki et al.(2019)	-1.3	4.9	8	-1.9	6.1	8	5.1%	0.60 -4.82, 6.02]	
Watanabe et al. (2006)	-10	5.13	14	-3.24	3.6	14	11.2%	-6.76 [-10.04, -3.48]	
Y. Zuniga et a.(2017)	-2	6.91	15	-2	5.72	15	6.9%	0.00 [-4.54, 4.54]	
Total (95% CI)			241			180	100.0%	-3.08 [-4.41, -1.75]	•
Heterogeneity, Tau ² = 1.32, Chi	= 14.80.	df= 11	(P = 0.	19); P=	26%				
Test for overall effect Z = 4.53 (P < 0.000	01)	-						-20 -10 0 10 20

B) Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)

	Expo	erimen	tal	(Control			Mean Difference	Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% Cl	
Kim et al.(2012)	0.7	7.78	10	-1.4	7.06	10	4.2%	2.10 [-4.41, 8.61]		
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	-2.9	29	29	-0.8	3.1	10	11.9%	-2.10 [-4.29, 0.09]		
Kozuma et al.(b)(2005)	-3.2	3.2	28	-0.8	3.1	10	11.8%	-2.40 [-4.66, -0.14]		
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	-3.8	2.8	31	-0.8	3.1	9	11.8%	-3.10 [-5.35, -0.85]		
Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018)	-2.3	4.24	25	-0.3	4	25	11.7%	-2.00 [-4.28, 0.28]		
Martinez-Lopez et al.(b)(2018)	-5.6	8.08	27	-3.5	7.87	27	7.3%	-2.10 [-6.30, 2.10]		
Ocihi et al.(2004)	-3.2	5.17	10	3.6	4.59	10	7.1%	-6.80 [-11.08, -2.52]		
Park et al. (2010)	-0.83	6.85	23	-4.15	5.59	20	8.2%	3.32 [-0.40, 7.04]		
Roshan et al.(2017)	-3.78	7.3	21	-6.13	15.84	22	3.5%	2.35 [-4.97, 9.67]		
Suzuki et al.(2019)	-1.2	4.8	8	-2	7.8	8	4.3%	0.80 [-5.55, 7.15]		
Watanabe et al.(2006)	-7	2.64	14	-0.83	3.72	14	11.4%	-8.17 [-8.56, -3.78]		
Zuniga et a.(2017)	-3	7.48	15	1	4.69	15	6.8%	-4.00 [-8.47, 0.47]		
Total (95% CI)			241			180	100.0%	-2.27 [-3.82, -0.72]	•	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 3.99; Chi	= 27.97	, of = 1	1 (P =	0.003);	F= 61%	5				
Test for overall effect Z = 2.87 (P=0.00-	4)	122	85					Favours [experimental] Favours [control]	

Fig. 4 The meta-analysis results of the effect of green coffee administration on blood pressure

weight (-1.24 kg; 95% CI: -1.82, -0.66; $I^2 = 15\%$), BMI (-0.55 kg/m²; 95% CI: -0.88, -0.22; $I^2 = 73\%$), and WC (-1.01 cm; 95% CI: -1.78, -0.23; $I^2 = 0\%$) (Fig. 5a-c). A higher level of body weight loss by green coffee bean extract consumption was found in subgroups with mean BMI ≥ 25 (-1.32 kg; 95% CI: -2.01, -0.63) and ≥ 400 dose of intervention (-1.34 kg; 95% CI: -2.11, -0.56), while no favorable effect on body weight was found in subgroup with mean BMI < 25 (-0.34 kg; 95% CI: -2.23, 1.55) or < 400 dose of intervention (-0.92 kg; 95% CI: -2.09, 0.25). Similarly, a greater BMI reduction was observed in subgroups with a mean BMI ≥ 25 (-0.63 kg/m²; 95% CI: -0.98, -0.28) and ≥ 400 dose of intervention (-0.91 kg/m²; 95% CI: -0.88, -0.22) (Table 3). Due to the low number of studies that reported waist circumference as outcomes, subgroup analysis was not conducted.

6 Sensitivity Analysis

In a sensitivity analysis that removed individual studies at a time, the removal of Haidari et al. [36] from the triglyceride pooled effect size changed the result to significant (-8.19 mg/dl; 95% CI: -16.5, -0.13; $I^2 = 18\%$). The LDL-C overall effect size became nonsignificant after removing Haidari et al. [36] (-1.42 mg/dl; 95% CI: -5.29, 2.44; $I^2 = 0\%$). Similarly, fasting insulin pooled effect size was also sensitive to Haidari et al. [36] with the removal of this study resulting in a nonsignificant pooled effect (-0.60 µU/ml; 95% CI: $-1.51, 0.30; I^2 = 0\%$). When Haidari et al. [36] was discarded from the BMI pooled effect size, the heterogeneity changed from 73% to 48%, while the results remained significant (-0.44 kg/ m²; 95% CI: -0.69, -0.19). In addition, by excluding of Roshan et al. [32] from WC result, the pooled effect size became nonsignificant $(-0.47 \text{ cm}; 95\% \text{ CI}: -1.49, 0.54; I^2 = 0\%)$. Pooled

A) Body Weight (kg)

	Expo	rimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Dellalibera et al.(2006)	-4.97	1.75	30	-2.45	1.65	20	21.9%	-2.52 [-3.48, -1.58]	
Haidari et al.(2017)	-4.84	5.23	30	-2.62	5.5	34	4.4%	-2.22 [-4.85, 0.41]	
Hasani et al.(2017)	-4.28	4.08	7	-2.9	5.63	10	1.5%	-1.38 [-6.00, 3.24]	
Kim et al.(2012)	-0.5	2.89	10	-0.2	4.01	10	3.3%	-0.30 [-3.36, 2.76]	
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	-0.1	6.04	29	-0.2	4.74	10	2.4%	0.10 [-3.57, 3.77]	
Kozuma et al.(b)(2005)	-0.1	3.58	28	-0.2	4.74	10	3.0%	0.10 [-3.12, 3.32]	
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	0	5.88	31	-0.2	4,74	9	2.3%	0.20 [-3.52, 3.92]	
Martinez-Lopez et al.(a)(2018)	-0.5	5.05	25	-0.2	6.31	25	3.1%	-0.30 [-3.47, 2.87]	
Martinez-Lopez et al.(b)(2018)	-1	8.5	27	-0.1	6.5	27	2.7%	-0.90 [-4.37, 2.57]	
Park et al.(2010)	-0.99	1.58	23	-0.61	1.43	20	23.5%	-0.38 [-1.28, 0.52]	
Roshan et al.(2017)	-2.08	2.11	21	-0.92	1.3	22	19.4%	-1.16 [-2.21, -0.11]	
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-3.13	2.95	22	-1.65	3.07	22	8.9%	-1.48 [-3.26, 0.30]	
Y. Zuniga et a.(2017)	-2.5	4.79	15	0	3.55	15	3.4%	-2.50 [-5.52, 0.52]	
Total (95% CI)			298			234	100.0%	-1.24 [-1.82, -0.66]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau# = 0.16; Chi#	= 14.17.	df = 1	2 (P = 0	0.29); P	= 15%				
Test for overall effect Z = 4.19 (× 0.000	1)	15-5						Favours [experimental] Favours [control]

B) BMI (kg/m²)

	Expo	rimen	tal	Control				Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Dellalibera et al.(2006)	-1.9	0.55	30	-0.9	0.45	20	10.7%	-1.00 [-1.28, -0.72]	
Haidari et al.(2017)	-4.09	1.9	30	-1.01	2.19	34	5.6%	-3.08 [-4.08, -2.08]	
Hasani et al.(2017)	-1.58	1.47	9	-1.14	1.79	10	3.5%	-0.44 [-1.91, 1.03]	
Kim et al.(2012)	-0.3	1.02	10	-0.1	0.84	10	6.8%	-0.20 [-1.02, 0.62]	
Kozuma et al.(a)(2005)	-0.1	1.79	29	-0.1	1.38	10	5.2%	0.00 [-1.08, 1.08]	
Kozuma et al.(b)(2005)	0	1.16	28	-0.1	1.38	10	5.9%	0.10 [-0.85, 1.05]	
Kozuma et al.(c)(2005)	0	1.61	31	-0.1	1.38	9	5.3%	0.10 [-0.96, 1.16]	
Naderi et al.(a)(2017)	-0.43	0.66	12	0.01	0.68	12	8.9%	-0.44 [-0.98, 0.10]	
Naderi et al.(b)(2017)	-1.57	0.76	12	-1.01	0.79	12	8.3%	-0.56 [-1.18, 0.06]	
Park et al.(2010)	-0.39	0.62	23	-0.23	0.53	20	10.3%	-0.16 [-0.50, 0.18]	
Roshan et al.(2017)	-0.84	0.86	21	-0.37	0.52	22	9.7%	-0.47 [-0.90, -0.04]	
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-1.03	1.16	22	-0.58	0.97	22	8.2%	-0.45 [-1.08, 0.18]	
Watanabe et al.(2006)	0.1	1.46	14	0	1.63	14	4.9%	0.10 [-1.05, 1.25]	
Y. Zuniga et a.(2017)	-1.2	1.18	15	-0.1	1.14	15	6.7%	-1.10 [-1.93, -0.27]	
Total (95% CI)			286			220	100.0%	-0.55 [-0.88, -0.22]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau* = 0.25; Chi*	= 47.36	df = 1	3 (P + 0	0.00001); * = 7	3%		100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	
Test for overall effect Z = 3.27 (P = 0.001)	123		7284 - 18				-4 -2 U 2 4 Eavours levnerimentall Eavours Icontroll

	Expo	rimen	tal	C	ontrol			Mean Difference	Mean Difference
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Hasani et al.(2017)	-4.43	2.06	7	-4.1	2.73	10	11.5%	-0.33 [-2.61, 1.95]	
Park et al.(2010)	-0.71	2.68	23	-0.28	2.28	20	27.2%	-0.45 [-1.93, 1.03]	
Roshan et al.(2017)	-2.4	2.54	21	-0.66	1.17	22	42.1%	-1.74 [-2.93, -0.55]	
Shahmohammadi et al.(2017)	-0.95	4.01	22	-0.62	3.6	22	11.8%	-0.33 [-2.58, 1.92]	
Y. Zuniga et a.(2017)	-2	4.47	15	-1	3.31	15	7.5%	-1.00 [-3.81, 1.81]	
Total (95% CI)			88			89	100.0%	-1.01 [-1.78, -0.23]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ^a = 0.00; Chi ^a	= 2.68, 0	if=4 (P=0.6	1); I ² = 0	%				
Test for overall effect Z = 2.55 (F	= 0.01)	0.93.2		C. C					-10 -5 0 5 1

Fig. 5 The meta-analysis results of the effect of green coffee administrations on anthropometric measures

results did not reveal any sensitivity to individual study in other variables including total cholesterol, FPG, SBP, DBP, BMI, and body weight.

Sensitivity analysis by excluding high risk of bias studies [31, 37, 49, 51, 53, 55] indicated that removing studies with high risk of bias did not alter the overall effect size for triglycerides (-5.30 mg/dl; 95% CI: -11.17, 0.56; $l^2 = 38\%$), total cholesterol (-5.99 mg/dl; 95% CI: -9.37, -2.62; $l^2 = 0\%$), LDL-C (-5.27 mg/dl; 95% CI:

-8.07, -2.46; $l^2 = 21\%$), HDL-C (1.11 mg/dl; 95% CI: -0.39, 2.61; $l^2 = 35\%$), FPG (-1.99 mg/ dl; 95% CI: -3.40, -0.59; $l^2 = 59\%$), SBP (-2.86 mmHg; 95% CI: -4.05, -1.68; $l^2 = 0.6\%$), DBP (-1.86 mmHg; 95% CI: -2.85, -0.87; $l^2 = 35\%$), body weight (-0.83 kg; 95% CI: -1.39, -0.23; $l^2 = 0\%$), and BMI (-0.42 kg/m²; 95% CI: -0.62, -0.21; $l^2 = 77\%$). Because of the high correlation coefficient (*r*) calculated for body weight and BMI (0.9), we also performed sensitivity analysis for alternative levels of correlation coefficient for imputing SD of change. The pooled effect sizes of both BMI (r = 0.8; -0.61 kg/m^2 ; 95% CI: -0.78, -0.44; $I^2 = 58\%$; r = 0.6; -0.62 kg/m^2 ; 95% CI: -0.80, -0.44; $I^2 = 43\%$) and body weight (r = 0.8; -1.28 kg; 95% CI: -1.80, -0.77; $I^2 = 2\%$; r = 0.6; -1.30 kg; 95% CI: -1.83, -0.77; $I^2 = 0\%$) were not sensitive to different levels of correlation coefficient.

7 Publication Bias

Visual inspection of funnel plot suggested a mildto-moderate asymmetry in estimating the influence of green coffee bean extract on nearly all outcomes of interest (Supplemental Fig. 1). However, except for HDL-C, no potential evidence of publication bias was detected by Egger's regression asymmetry test and Begg's rankcorrelation methods test for any outcome [triglycerides (Begg's test P = 0.69; Egger's test P = 0.73), total cholesterol (Begg's test P = 0.11; Egger's test P = 0.13), LDL-C (Begg's test P = 0.20; Egger's test P = 0.06), FPG (Begg's test P = 0.33; Egger's test P = 0.19), SBP (Begg's test P = 0.30; Egger's test P = 0.31), DBP (Begg's test P = 0.45; Egger's test P = 0.27), BMI (Begg's test P = 0.78; Egger's test P = 0.70), and body weight (Begg's test P = 0.32; Egger's test P = 0.53)]. Although Begg's rank correlation method test did not show any significant evidence of publication bias (P = 0.82), Egger's regression asymmetry test was significant for HDL-C (P < 0.001). After excluding Haidari et al. (2017), which the HDL-C pooled effect size was sensitive to, the Egger test changed to nonsignificant (P = 0.88). Due to the low number of study (<10) included in the metaanalysis for waist circumference, fasting insulin, HbA1C, and HOMA-IR, the publication bias test was not applicable.

8 Meta-regression

Meta-regression was performed to evaluate the influence of potential covariates, including dose of intervention, duration of study, and baseline measures of outcome of interest, on changes of CVD risk factors in response to green coffee bean extract. The results indicated that changes in FPG serum concentrations were associated with its baseline value (FPG: coefficient = -0.21; P = 0.01). Furthermore, a trend toward a significant association was detected for BMI changes following green coffee bean extract consumption and BMI baseline measures (BMI: coefficient = -0.11; P = 0.05). No other relation was observed between change in BMI and FGP and dose of green coffee bean extract as well as duration of intervention. Furthermore, the effect of green coffee bean extract on all other included CVD risk factors was independent of the potential covariates. Supplemental Table 3 shows the meta-regression results in detail.

9 Discussion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis suggests that green coffee bean extract consumption can be beneficial for controlling total cholesterol, FPG, blood pressure, and body weight. Although the results indicated a significant effect of green coffee bean extract on LDL-C, fasting insulin, and WC, these variables were sensitive to one study and should be interpreted with caution. Similarly, the pooled effect size for triglyceride levels became significant after one study was excluded. Furthermore, while the results of the included meta-analyses reported green coffee bean extract to provide significant improvements in a number of CVD-related outcomes, many of the improvements were relatively small (e.g., a significant body weight decrease of -0.84 kg). However, a relatively minor decrease in CVD risk factors can provide a marked reduced in overall risk of CVD. For example, a 2-mmHg reduction in SBP results in a reduced incidence of mortality related to stroke and ischemic heart by 10% and 7%, respectively [56–58].

The meta-analysis also indicated that the results of all outcomes of interest were robust after excluding studies with high risk of bias methodology. However, the credibility of evidence was only sufficient for some outcomes, which implied that more studies are needed to make evidence-based conclusion. In addition, meta-regression analysis showed an association between changes in FPG concentration in response to green coffee consumption and FPG baseline measures, but not in case of dose or duration of study. This finding implied that the FPG-lowering effect of green coffee might be more visible in subjects with higher blood glucose levels and could be promising for controlling diseases with impaired glucose metabolism especially diabetes. Furthermore, we conducted a series of subgroup analyses to explore factors that may influence treatment response. While the subgroup analyses were not consistent for each outcome, the subgroup analyses generally suggest that chronic administration of green coffee bean extract (>60 or 84 days) in doses >400 mg may be more effective. In addition, green coffee bean extract may be more effective in populations with cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., BMI >25 or elevated blood pressure).

The mechanisms of action by which green coffee bean extract may improve CVD risk factors require further exploration in human intervention studies. Preclinical animal and cell-culture studies suggest that chlorogenic acid, the primary polyphenol compound within green coffee extract, may improve blood pressure via the stimulation of nitric oxide, antioxidant activity, and the inhibition of angiotensin-converting enzymes [59, 60]. Furthermore, chlorogenic acid may improve glycemic control and lipid profile via its effect on expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-y and AMPactivated protein kinase [22]. Additional compounds such as caffeine and other polyphenol compounds may also exert a beneficial effect. Several reports have shown a beneficial impact of polyphenols on health condition, and its cardioprotective effect have been frequently suggested [61, 62]. Further studies that utilize techniques such as metabolomic analysis may provide further information regarding the relevant pathways in humans.

Furthermore, interindividual differences in the absorption and bioavailability of green coffee bean extract has not been well-explored in humans. Polyphenol absorption is highly dependent on gut microbiota composition. Monteiro et al. [63] explored the absorption of chlorogenic acid isomers and metabolites in humans and reported significant interindividual differences. This has also been reported for other polyphenol compounds such as Urothillin A, a polyphenol present in pomegranates, which appears to be differentially absorbed based on microbiota composition [64]. Further exploration regarding factors that influence absorption may inform future trials and reduce the possible influence of these factors on treatment response.

In this area, a few meta-analyses have investigated the effect of green coffee on only some of CVD risk factors such as lipid profiles [65] and anthropometric measures [66] and reported a positive influence on these outcomes. Although they supported the hypotheses behind the beneficial effect of green coffee, they only reported a simple influence without any investigation on quality of evidence, and these studies could be considered as primary outcomes. In this case, we put one step forward to clarify the quality of evidences across outcomes by performing adjustments for multiple confounders and exploring risk of bias for each finding. It made our results more reliable to decide whether using green coffee could be practical in prevention/treatment of CVD or there is still a lack of sufficient evidence to make any final conclusion. On the other hand, as these risk factors are known as the surrogate factors for CVD and are not hard outcomes of interest, there is a need to consider all risk factors as far as possible to make an evidence-based decision.

The comparative efficacy of green coffee bean extract compared to standard pharmacotherapy has not yet been evaluated. However, due to the demonstrated benefit of current pharmacological interventions for CVD management and prevention, green coffee bean extract may be of greater benefit as an adjunctive intervention by providing additional improvements in CVD markers. Furthermore, the use of an effective adjuvant intervention may allow for a reduction in the dose of pharmacological interventions in participants experiencing side effects. However, the efficacy of green coffee bean extract as an adjuvant intervention to standard pharmacotherapy has not yet been evaluated.

While no serious adverse events were reported in the included studies, the safety of green coffee bean extract was only reported in seven studies. Zuniga et al. [54] reported that abdominal pain and distention, headache, diarrhea, and polyuria were experienced by participants in both groups. These side effects disappeared at the end of the first week of the intervention. Two participants in a study conducted by Roshan et al. [32] experienced discomfort by green coffee bean extract. One participant with a history of stomach irritation reported stomachaches, and another participant experienced dizziness. Furthermore, there is a lack of sufficient safety data in chronic conditions such as autoimmune conditions and conditions related to the liver and kidney. Further studies in this area are needed to enhance our knowledge about the safety of green coffee bean extract and possible interaction with pharmacological agents.

The current study has the following limitations which should be considered. The number of included studies per outcome was relatively low, especially in subgroups. The amount of the main active component of green coffee bean extract was not reported in all trials, which limited the ability of meta-regression analyses to adjust for dosage. Therefore, the included subgroup analyses that explored dose of intervention should be interpreted with caution. Due to the wide variation in bioactive compounds in herbal and plantbased interventions, future studies are recommended to report the level of key bioactive compounds and/or use of standard extracts to ensure a consistent dose is provided [67]. Several included studies did not control for participant's diet or physical activity, which may have influenced the study results. Finally, the participant population varied across the studies (i.e., participants with chronic diseases vs healthy participants) which might be as source of heterogeneity.

10 Conclusion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis suggests that green coffee bean extract consumption can have beneficial effect on improving triglycerides, total cholesterol, FPG, blood pressure, and body weight. Due to the promising cardioprotective effect and safety profile, further studies should explore the use of standardized green coffee bean extract as adjuvant therapy to conventional medical treatment. Further studies are also required to explore the relevant mechanisms of action and interindividual responses.

Acknowledgments None.

Competing Interests None.

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate Not applicable.

Consent for Publication Not applicable.

Availability of Data and Material This is a review article and there is no raw data.

Authors' Contributions M.P., A.H., W.M., S.K, and A.S. carried out the conceptualization, design, and drafting of this study. A.N., A.H. and M.P. searched databases, screened articles, and extracted data. M.P. and A.H. performed the acquisition, analysis, and interpretation of data. W.M. critically revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript. A.S. and A.H are the guarantors of this study.

Funding None.

References

- Saez-Cirion, A., Nir, S., Lorizate, M., Agirre, A., Cruz, A., Perez-Gil, J., et al. (2002). Sphingomyelin and cholesterol promote HIV-1 gp41 pretransmembrane sequence surface aggregation and membrane restructuring. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277(24), 21776–21785.
- Iso, H. (2011). Lifestyle and cardiovascular disease in Japan. *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis*, 1102040343.

- Sowers, J. R., Epstein, M., & Frohlich, E. D. (2001). Diabetes, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease: An update. *Hypertension*, 37(4), 1053–1059.
- Neves, C., Alves, M., Medina, J., & Delgado, J. (2008). Thyroid diseases, dyslipidemia and cardiovascular pathology. *Revista portuguesa de cardiologia: orgao oficial da Sociedade Portuguesa de Cardiologia= Portuguese Journal of Cardiology:* an Official Journal of the Portuguese Society of Cardiology, 27(10), 1211–1236.
- Everson-Rose, S. A., & Lewis, T. T. (2005). Psychosocial factors and cardiovascular diseases. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 26, 469–500.
- Ambrose, J. A., & Barua, R. S. (2004). The pathophysiology of cigarette smoking and cardiovascular disease: An update. *Journal of the American College* of Cardiology, 43(10), 1731–1737.
- Forman, D., & Bulwer, B. E. (2006). Cardiovascular disease: Optimal approaches to risk factor modification of diet and lifestyle. *Current Treatment Options* in Cardiovascular Medicine, 8(1), 47–57.
- John, J., Ziebland, S., Yudkin, P., Roe, L. S., & Neil, H. (2002). Effects of fruit and vegetable consumption on plasma antioxidant concentrations and blood pressure: A randomised controlled trial. *The Lancet*, 359(9322), 1969–1974.
- George, E. S., Marshall, S., Mayr, H. L., Trakman, G. L., Tatucu-Babet, O. A., Lassemillante, A.-C. M., et al. (2018). The effect of high-polyphenol extra virgin olive oil on cardiovascular risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 1–24.
- Banach, M., Patti, A. M., Giglio, R. V., Cicero, A. F. G., Atanasov, A. G., Bajraktari, G., et al. (2018). The role of nutraceuticals in statin intolerant patients. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 72(1), 96–118.
- Pirro, M., Mannarino, M. R., Bianconi, V., Simental-Mendía, L. E., Bagaglia, F., Mannarino, E., et al. (2016). The effects of a nutraceutical combination on plasma lipids and glucose: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Pharmacological Research*, 110, 76–88.
- Grassi, D., Desideri, G., Di Giosia, P., De Feo, M., Fellini, E., Cheli, P., et al. (2013). Tea, flavonoids, and cardiovascular health: Endothelial protection. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 98(6), 1660S–1666S.
- Pourmasoumi, M., Hadi, A., Najafgholizadeh, A., Joukar, F., & Mansour-Ghanaei, F. (2019). The effects of cranberry on cardiovascular metabolic risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Nutrition.*
- Pourmasoumi, M., Hadi, A., Najafgholizadeh, A., Kafeshani, M., & Sahebkar, A. (2019). Clinical evidence on the effects of saffron (Crocus sativus L.) on cardiovascular risk factors: A systematic review meta-analysis. *Pharmacological Research*, 139, 348–359.

- Arranz, S., Chiva-Blanch, G., Valderas-Martínez, P., Medina-Remón, A., Lamuela-Raventós, R. M., & Estruch, R. (2012). Wine, beer, alcohol and polyphenols on cardiovascular disease and cancer. *Nutrients*, 4(7), 759–781.
- Di Castelnuovo, A., Di Giuseppe, R., Iacoviello, L., & De Gaetano, G. (2012). Consumption of cocoa, tea and coffee and risk of cardiovascular disease. *European Journal of Internal Medicine*, 23(1), 15–25.
- Bonita, J. S., Mandarano, M., Shuta, D., & Vinson, J. (2007). Coffee and cardiovascular disease: In vitro, cellular, animal, and human studies. *Pharmacological Research*, 55(3), 187–198.
- Grosso, G., Godos, J., Galvano, F., & Giovannucci, E. L. (2017). Coffee, caffeine, and health outcomes: An umbrella review. *Annual Review of Nutrition*, *37*, 131–156.
- Islam, M., Alencar, M., Mata, A., Paz, M., Matos, L., Sousa, J., et al. (2016). Coffee: A health fuel-blot popular drinking. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 81–87.
- Godos, J., Pluchinotta, F. R., Marventano, S., Buscemi, S., Li Volti, G., Galvano, F., et al. (2014). Coffee components and cardiovascular risk: Beneficial and detrimental effects. *International Journal of Food Sciences* and Nutrition, 65(8), 925–936.
- Arnaud, M. J. (1993). Components of coffee. Caffeine, Coffee, and Health, 43.
- 22. Tajik, N., Tajik, M., Mack, I., & Enck, P. (2017). The potential effects of chlorogenic acid, the main phenolic components in coffee, on health: A comprehensive review of the literature. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 56(7), 2215–2244.
- Farah, A., & dePaula, L. J. (2019). Consumption of chlorogenic acids through coffee and health implications. *Beverages*, 5(1), 11.
- Salomone, F., Galvano, F., & Li Volti, G. (2017). Molecular bases underlying the hepatoprotective effects of coffee. *Nutrients*, 9(1), 85.
- van Dam, R. M. (2008). Coffee consumption and risk of type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and cancer. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition, and Metabolism,* 33(6), 1269–1283.
- Setyono, J., Nugroho, D. A., Mustofa, M., & Saryono, S. (2017). The effect of orlistat, Green coffee bean extract, and its combinations on lipid profile and adiponectin levels. *Jurnal Ners*, 9(1), 26–34.
- 27. Vinson, J. A., Burnham, B. R., & Nagendran, M. V. (2012). Randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, linear dose, crossover study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of a green coffee bean extract in overweight subjects. *Diabetes, Metabolic Syndrome* and Obesity: Targets and Therapy, 521.
- Samadi, M., Mohammadshahi, M., & Haidari, F. (2015). Green coffee bean extract as a weight loss supplement. *Journal of Nutritional Disorders & Therapy*, 5(180), 2161-0509.1000180.
- 29. Baeza, G., Amigo-Benavent, M., Sarriá, B., Goya, L., Mateos, R., & Bravo, L. (2014). Green cof-

fee hydroxycinnamic acids but not caffeine protect human HepG2 cells against oxidative stress. *Food Research International*, 62, 1038–1046.

- Sarriá, B., Martínez-López, S., Mateos, R., & Bravo-Clemente, L. (2016). Long-term consumption of a green/roasted coffee blend positively affects glucose metabolism and insulin resistance in humans. *Food Research International*, 89, 1023–1028.
- Watanabe, T., Arai, Y., Mitsui, Y., Kusaura, T., Okawa, W., Kajihara, Y., et al. (2006). The blood pressurelowering effect and safety of chlorogenic acid from green coffee bean extract in essential hypertension. *Clinical and Experimental Hypertension*, 28(5), 439–449.
- 32. Roshan, H., Nikpayam, O., Sedaghat, M., & Sohrab, G. (2018). Effects of green coffee extract supplementation on anthropometric indices, glycaemic control, blood pressure, lipid profile, insulin resistance and appetite in patients with the metabolic syndrome: A randomised clinical trial. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 119(3), 250–258.
- Liang, N., & Kitts, D. (2016). Role of chlorogenic acids in controlling oxidative and inflammatory stress conditions. *Nutrients*, 8(1), 16.
- 34. Shahmohammadi, H. A., Hosseini, S. A., Hajiani, E., Malehi, A. S., & Alipour, M. (2017). Effects of green coffee bean extract supplementation on patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A randomized clinical trial. *Hepatitis Monthly*, 17(4).
- 35. Martínez-López, S., Sarriá, B., Mateos, R., & Bravo-Clemente, L. (2018). Moderate consumption of a soluble green/roasted coffee rich in caffeoylquinic acids reduces cardiovascular risk markers: Results from a randomized, cross-over, controlled trial in healthy and hypercholesterolemic subjects. *European Journal of Nutrition*, 1–14.
- 36. Haidari, F., Samadi, M., Mohammadshahi, M., Jalali, M. T., & Engali, K. A. (2017). Energy restriction combined with green coffee bean extract affects serum adipocytokines and the body composition in obese women. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 26(6), 1048.
- Naderi, L., & Sharifi, G. (2017). Comparison of the effect of 8 weeks concurrent training and green coffee supplementation on serum adipsin and insulin resistance in obese women. *Armaghane Danesh*, 22(5), 623–636.
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., & Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 151(4), 264–269.
- 39. Higgins JP, Green S (2006) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions.
- Schünemann, H., Brożek, J., Guyatt, G., & Oxman, A. (2017). GRADE handbook for grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. Updated October 2013. The GRADE Working Group, 2013.
- GRADEpro G. (2018). GRADEpro guideline development tool [software]. McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime, Inc.) 2015.

- 42. Follmann, D., Elliott, P., Suh, I., & Cutler, J. (1992). Variance imputation for overviews of clinical trials with continuous response. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 45(7), 769–773.
- 43. Higgins, J. P., & Green, S. (2011). Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions. Wiley.
- Begg, C. B., & Mazumdar, M. (1994). Operating characteristics of a rank correlation test for publication bias. *Biometrics*, 1088–1101.
- Egger, M., Smith, G. D., Schneider, M., & Minder, C. (1997). Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*, *315*(7109), 629–634.
- Kozuma, K., Tsuchiya, S., Kohori, J., Hase, T., & Tokimitsu, I. (2005). Antihypertensive effect of green coffee bean extract on mildly hypertensive subjects. *Hypertension Research*, 28(9), 711.
- 47. Martínez-López, S., Sarriá, B., Mateos, R., & Bravo-Clemente, L. (2019). Moderate consumption of a soluble green/roasted coffee rich in caffeoylquinic acids reduces cardiovascular risk markers: Results from a randomized, cross-over, controlled trial in healthy and hypercholesterolemic subjects. *European Journal of Nutrition, 58*(2), 865–878.
- Fukagawa, S., Haramizu, S., Sasaoka, S., Yasuda, Y., Tsujimura, H., & Murase, T. (2017). Coffee polyphenols extracted from green coffee beans improve skin properties and microcirculatory function. *Bioscience, Biotechnology, and Biochemistry*, 81(9), 1814–1822.
- 49. Hassani, Z., Izaddost, F., & Shabani, R. (2017). The effect of a six-week combined aerobic-resistance training program along with green coffee consumption on anxiety and depression in overweight and obese women. *Feyz Journal of Kashan University of Medical Sciences*, 21(5), 450–459.
- Kim, T.-S., Yang, W.-S., Park, S.-I., Lee, S.-P., Kang, M.-H., Lee, J.-H., et al. (2012). Effect of green coffee bean extract supplementation on body fat reduction in mildly obese women. *Journal of the Korean Society of Food Culture*, 27(4), 407–413.
- Ochiai, R., Jokura, H., Suzuki, A., Tokimitsu, I., Ohishi, M., Komai, N., et al. (2004). Green coffee bean extract improves human vasoreactivity. *Hypertension Research*, 27(10), 731–737.
- 52. Park, J. Y., Kim, J. Y., Lee, S. P., & Lee, J. H. (2010). The effect of green coffee bean extract supplementation on body fat reduction in overweight/obese women. *Korean Journal of Nutrition*, 43(4), 374–381.
- 53. Suzuki, A., Nomura, T., Jokura, H., Kitamura, N., Saiki, A., & Fujii, A. (2019). Chlorogenic acidenriched green coffee bean extract affects arterial stiffness assessed by the cardio-ankle vascular index in healthy men: A pilot study. *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 1–8.
- 54. Zuniga, L. Y., Aceves-de la Mora MCA-d, González-Ortiz, M., Ramos-Nunez, J. L., & Martinez-Abundis, E. (2018). Effect of chlorogenic acid administration on glycemic control, insulin secretion, and insulin sensitivity in patients with impaired glucose tolerance. *Journal of Medicinal Food*, 21(5), 469–473.

- 55. Dellalibera, O., Lemaire, B., & Lafay, S. (2006). Svetol, green coffee extract, induces weight loss and increases the lean to fat mass ratio in volunteers with overweight problem. *Phytothérapie*, 4(4), 194–197.
- Cook, N. R., Cohen, J., Hebert, P. R., Taylor, J. O., & Hennekens, C. H. (1995). Implications of small reductions in diastolic blood pressure for primary prevention. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 155(7), 701–709.
- Lewington, S., Clarke, R., Qizilbash, N., Peto, R., & Collins, R. (2003). Age-specific relevance of usual blood pressure to vascular mortality. *The Lancet*, 361(9366), 1391–1392.
- Khalesi, S., Sun, J., Buys, N., & Jayasinghe, R. (2014). Effect of probiotics on blood pressure: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized, controlled trials. *Hypertension*, 114, 03469.
- Cicero, A. F. G., Fogacci, F., & Colletti, A. (2017). Food and plant bioactives for reducing cardiometabolic disease risk: An evidence based approach. *Food* & *Function*, 8(6), 2076–2088.
- 60. Giglio, R. V., Patti, A. M., Cicero, A. F. G., Lippi, G., Rizzo, M., Toth, P. P., et al. (2018). Polyphenols: Potential use in the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases. *Current Pharmaceutical Design*, 24(2), 239–258.
- Cicero, A. F., & Colletti, A. (2016). Role of phytochemicals in the management of metabolic syndrome. *Phytomedicine*, 23(11), 1134–1144.
- Cicero, A. F. G., Colletti, A., Bajraktari, G., Descamps, O., Djuric, D. M., Ezhov, M., et al. (2017). Lipid lowering nutraceuticals in clinical

practice: Position paper from an International Lipid Expert Panel. *Archives of Medical Science*, *13*(5), 965–1005.

- Monteiro, M., Farah, A., Perrone, D., Trugo, L. C., & Donangelo, C. (2007). Chlorogenic acid compounds from coffee are differentially absorbed and metabolized in humans. *The Journal of Nutrition*, 137(10), 2196–2201.
- 64. Espín, J. C., Larrosa, M., García-Conesa, M. T., & Tomás-Barberán, F. (2013). Biological significance of urolithins, the gut microbial ellagic acid-derived metabolites: The evidence so far. *Evidence-based Complementary and Alternative Medicine, 2013.*
- 65. Ding, F., Ma, B., Nazary-Vannani, A., Kord-Varkaneh, H., Fatahi, S., Papageorgiou, M., et al. (2020). The effects of green coffee bean extract supplementation on lipid profile in humans: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Nutrition, Metabolism and Cardiovascular Diseases,* 30(1), 1–10.
- 66. Asbaghi, O., Sadeghian, M., Rahmani, S., Mardani, M., Khodadost, M., Maleki, V., et al. (2020). The effect of green coffee extract supplementation on anthropometric measures in adults: A comprehensive systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Complementary Therapies in Medicine*, 102424.
- 67. Marx, W., Isenring, E. A., & Lohning, A. E. (2017). Determination of the concentration of major active anti-emetic constituents within commercial ginger food products and dietary supplements. *European Journal of Integrative Medicine*, 10, 19–24.